

Type-Aware Decomposition as Mechanism-Aligned Routing

Section 3.4 formalizes variable typing from mechanism cues, shows representative real HAI signatures, and maps each type to the mechanism currently used in code.

1. Type Assignment

$$\tau(i) = \text{TypeAssign}(m_i, s_i, d_i)$$

metadata + temporal signature + dependency pattern

m_i

Metadata / engineering role

tag semantics, actuator vs PV vs auxiliary

s_i

Temporal signature

step, dwell, inertia, saturation, sparsity

d_i

Dependency pattern

exogenous driver, feedback loop, derived tag

Target label space for routing

T1

T2

T3

T4

T5

T6

2. Six-Type Taxonomy

One representative signature feature per type; T5 retains its real base/derived pair.

T1

Program / setpoint

externally commanded staircase
train3 | P1_B3005



T2

Controller output


continuous closed-loop adjustment
train1 | P1_B4005



T3

Actuator state

plateau + saturation / rate limit
train1 | P1_FCV02Z



T4

Process variable

slow inertia-dominated response
train3 | P1_FT03



T5

Derived / deterministic

paired algebraic companion tag
train3 | P1_FT02, P1_FT02Z



T6

Auxiliary signal

irregular low-impact context
train3 | P2_HILout



3. Routing in Current Code

Type 1 + file_id
explicit
conditioning context

Types 2 / 3 / 4 / 6
routed to
learned path

Shared hybrid generator
Transformer trend stage
Residual DDPM for continuous channels
Masked diffusion for discrete states

Type 5 deterministic reconstruction
post-sampling copy / rule
e.g., FT02Z <- FT02

Typed assembly at sampling
conditioning + learned outputs + deterministic tags

Implemented today: T1 conditioning; T5 deterministic; T2/T3/T4/T6 shared branch.